## PRESSURE DEPENDENCE OF HALL CONSTANT

ABLE VII. I(k), [see Eq. (31)], for various conditions.

Quantity I-0.3Y <sub>8</sub>	[110] 1.5	Direction of <b>k</b> [111] 0.5	[100] 0.7
Using potassium matrix element			
*), N and U.K.			
rocesses aribtrary units	46	48	57
k)]-1×100			
roportional to $\tau(\mathbf{k})$	2.2	2.1	1.8
1), U.K. processes only	26	34	44
14. 100 U.K. only	3.8	2.9	2.3
Using lithium matrix element			
spocesses-arbitrary units	58	# 62	71
<b>k</b> )] <sup>-1</sup> ×100	1.7	1.6	1.4
k), U.K. processes only	25	28	40
( <b>k</b> )] <sup>-1</sup> ×100 U.K. only	4.0	3.6	2.5

nate of  $\tau(111)$ . On the other hand Eq. (31) can a meaningful estimate of  $\tau(100)$  and  $\tau(110)$ use of the weak  $\phi$  dependence.

he results show a difference of about 20% in the es of  $I(\mathbf{k})$  for the [110] and [100] directions countboth N and U.K. processes and using either the um or the potassium matrix element. If only U.K. esses are counted the difference becomes nearly using the potassium matrix element and 60% g the lithium matrix element.

e have also tabulated  $1-0.3Y_6$  for the three prindirections. This gives the dependence of  $\tau(\mathbf{k})$  on sixth order Kubic harmonic with  $C_1 = -0.3$ . It db e pointed out that we have no way of knowing here is no reason for C and  $C_1$  to be simply related he way A and  $A_1$  were for certain shapes of the E curves. In addition, even though the influence of  $n^*$  may be small because of the smaller size of the incients arising from the fourth order Kubic haric its influence on  $\tau$  is not. It is interesting to note both matrix elements give  $\tau(110) > \tau(100)$  as would he case if  $\tau$  were proportional to  $1-0.3Y_6$ .

we conclude that if the matrix elements obtained by yn are correct, then the geometry of the U.K. esses alone is sufficient to produce appreciable stropies in  $\tau$  for both potassium and lithium. The city of sound is also highly anisotropic in the lis and may produce further anisotropy in  $\tau$ ; like reometrical factor  $(1/q^2)$  it is most significant in the happ region.

be highly anisotropic  $\tau(\mathbf{k})$  for lithium is in line with arge deviation of  $n^*$  from unity for this metal noted able I; however it is not clear why the same devialoes not occur in the case of potassium where the tropy is also large. The form of  $F(\theta)$  for lithium suggests a possible explanation for the strong temure dependence of  $n^*$  shown in Fig. 7.  $F(\theta)$  is much

more sharply peaked in the case of lithium than in the case of potassium and although the peak is at  $\theta = 75^{\circ}$ scattering processes at  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$  are still quite heavily weighted. The wave vectors for phonons involved in scattering from k(110) at  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$  are quite large [approximately 50% large than for k(111) or k(100) and  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ ; as the temperature is lowered some of these phonons are no longer excited and the scattering should be changed severely. The high Debye temperature,  $\theta_D = 430^{\circ}$ K, suggests that there should actually be "freezing out" of phonons at nitrogen temperature even though we are interested in the Debye temperature for transverse phonons which will be lower than the specific heat  $\theta_D$ . There is, however, also the possibility that the change in  $n^*$  may be connected with the martensitic transition occurring near 77°K.24

The small changes in  $n^*$  with temperature for sodium and potassium may also be due to the beginning of the "freezing out" of some phonons. However, the scattering function  $F(\theta)$  is much less sharply peaked in the case of potassium than in the case of lithium and so the total scattering is much less sensitive to the freezing out of large q phonons. In addition the Debye temperatures are lower for these metals. Both factors should decrease the temperature effect in sodium and potassium.

## CONCLUSIONS

The observed pressure effects in the alkalis require the assumption of an anisotropic scattering time,  $\tau(\mathbf{k})$ . In order to explain how relatively small increases in the warping parameters which describe the Fermi surface cause  $u^*$  to decrease. The assumption of anisotropy in  $\tau(\mathbf{k})$  is required both by the sign of the pressure effect, and, in the case of sodium and lithium, by its magnitude. The anisotropy in the shape of the Fermi surface is small, except possibly in the case of cesium, while the anisotropy in  $\tau$ , is large. The anisotropy in  $\tau$  comes from: (1) the fact that  $1/|\mathbf{q}|^2$  occurs as a **k** dependent weighting factor in the expression for  $\tau$  and (2) the fact that  $1/c_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p}^2}$  occurs as a highly anisotropic weighting factor in the same expression.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We should like to acknowledge the help of James Inglis and Charles Chase in the construction of the high pressure equipment. We should also like to thank Dr Frank Ham for providing us with his results prior to publication and for several discussions. We are grateful to Dr. Webster Howard and Dr. Manuel Cardona for many suggestions and discussions. One of us (T.D.) would like to thank the Standard Oil Company of California and the Union Carbide Company for fellowship support while this work was being done.

763

<sup>24</sup> C. S. Barrett, Phys. Rev. 72, 245 (1948).